

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,116	DUDEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Raymond J. Henley III. (3) _____

(2) David P. Halstead, Ph.D. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 September 2005

Time: AM (E.D.T.)

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Extension of time filed September 19, 2005 not accompanied by a response to outstanding Office action. The present application is abandoned.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

See attached "Notice of Abandonment"


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)